

To: BOS_Legislative Assistants,

Cc: cr_board_clerk Clerk Recorder/ClerkRec/COSLO@Wings,

Bcc:

Subject: Fw: Contact Us (response #2430)

From: Board of Supervisors/BOS/COSLO - Monday 09/23/2013 12:04 PM

Sent by: Fran Zohns/BOS/COSLO

---- Forwarded by Fran Zohns/BOS/COSLO on 09/23/2013 12:04 PM -----

From: "Internet Webmaster" < webmaster@co.slo.ca.us>

To: "BoardOfSups@co.slo.ca.us" <BoardOfSups@co.slo.ca.us>

Date: 09/22/2013 07:27 PM Subject: Contact Us (response #2430)

Contact Us (response #2430)

Survey Information

Site:	County of SLO
	Contact Us
URL:	http://www.slocounty.ca.gov/bos/BOSContactUs.htm
Submission Time/Date:	9/22/2013 7:27:08 PM

Survey Response

	ora Jenae'
Telephone Number:	
Email address: j0l	hn.16.4njj@gmail.com
Book Comments or questions (8,192 characters max):	oard of Supervisors, San Luis Obispo County orrected copy RE: Item 11, Item 6, Page 6 asted below signatures Please remove the #6 greement from the consent agenda and open it or discussion and clarification of the following atement. '(S)o that the property maybe kept is near as possible in its natural condition for the enefit of the public" This statement contradicts our previous statements in the agreement. There is your logic? My question: If the property being kept for the benefit of the public, how will be public benefit when access is denied to the public? Without trails for the public, i.e. hikers, ggers, and horse riding, how can the property is a benefit to the public? With trails the public ould certainly enjoy 'the property being kept as ear as possible in its natural condition'. Thank ou for consideration, Nora Jenae' and Kitt Jenae 22 Beverly Drive Nipomo, CA 93444 CONSENT

Agenda Item No: 11 • Meeting Date: September 24, 2013 Presented By: Nora Jenae Rec'd prior to the meeting & posted on: September 23, 2013 AGENDA "6. No authorization for public trespass. This grant of easement contained herein and its acceptance by the County of San Luis Obispo does not authorize and is not to be constructed as authorizing to public or any member thereof to trespass upon or use all or any portion of the Subject Property or as granting to the public or any member thereof any tangible rights in or to the Subject Property or the right to go upon or use or utilize the Subject Property in any manner whatsoever. It is understood that the purpose of this agreement is solely to restrict the uses to which the Subject Property may be put so that the property maybe kept as near as possible in its natural condition for the benefit of the public."



Fw: Please remove Item 11, Item 6, page 6 from the Consent Agenda on 9/24/13

Board of Supervisors to: BOS_Legislative Assistants 09/23/2013 12:04 PM

Sent by: Fran Zohns

Cc: cr_board_clerk Clerk Recorder

---- Forwarded by Fran Zohns/BOS/COSLO on 09/23/2013 12:02 PM -----

From: deah rudd <deahrudd@att.net>

To: "boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us" <boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Date: 09/23/2013 11:48 AM

Subject: Please remove Item 11, Item 6, page 6 from the Consent Agenda on 9/24/13

Board of Supervisors, San Luis Obispo County

RE: Item 11, Item 6, Page 6 as pasted below signatures

Please remove the #6 agreement from the consent agenda and open it for discussion and clarification of the following statement. '...(S)o that the property maybe kept as near as possible in its natural condition for the benefit of the public..." c?

This over-broad language needs to be discussed with the public as to how it will be applied and perhaps limiting language added as to under what circumstances the closure would be used and for what specific purpose..

Thank you for consideration, Deah Rudd

Arroyo Grande, CA 93420

CONSENT AGENDA

"6. No authorization for public trespass. This grant of easement contained herein and its acceptance by the County of San Luis Obispo does not authorize and is not to be constructed as authorizing to public or any member thereof to trespass upon or use all or any portion of the Subject Property or as granting to the public or any member thereof any tangible rights in or to the Subject Property or the right to go upon or use or utilize the Subject Property in any manner whatsoever. It is understood that the purpose of this agreement is solely to restrict the uses to which the Subject Property may be put so that the property maybe kept as near as possible in its natural condition for the benefit of the public."

Agenda Item No: 11 • Meeting Date: September 24, 2013
Presented By: Deah Rudd